

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Pat nt and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE J 12.975 12/02/96 QIN 08/759,108 **EXAMINER** IM62/1123 REDDICK, M JOHN R SCHENIAN PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** KIMBERLY CLARK CORPORATION 401 NORTH LAKE STREET 1713 NEENAH WI 54956 DATE MAILED: 11/23/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

... Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/759,108 Applicant(s)

QIN ET AL

Examiner

Judy M. Reddick

Group Art Unit 1713



\boxtimes Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{04/29/99 + 07/30/95}$	<u>9</u>
☐ This action is FINAL .	·
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to e is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 17-32 and 34	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	I to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □approved □disapproved.
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	, .
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	he priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb	er)
\square received in this national stage application from the \ln	ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	i)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE	E FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 08/759,108

Art Unit: 1713

7

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. After an extensive review of the file and an exhaustive deliberation coupled with applicants' persuasive arguments, the rejection based on Chmelir is herein withdraw. However, after reassessment of the prior art of record, a rejection based on such is deemed proper and is as set forth infra. To this end, prosecution is herein reopened. An apology is extended to applicants for any inconvenience that this may have caused.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 constitutes indefinite subject matter as per its dependency from a canceled claim, viz., claim 3.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

Application/Control Number: 08/759,108

Art Unit: 1713

- 4. (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 4-16 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over International WO 96/17,681.

International'681 discloses and exemplifies superabsorbent materials, used in the formulation of disposable products such as diapers and sanitary napkins, defined basically as containing 1) an anionic superabsorbent in which from 20 to 100 % of the functional groups are in free acid form and include polymers wherein the functional groups are attached to a cross-linked acrylic base polymer such as polyacrylic acid, etc. and 2) a cationic superabsorbent in which from 20

Application/Control Number: 08/759,108

Art Unit: 1713

, 5.

to 100 % of the functional groups are in basic form and include polymers formed from base polymers such as x-linked polysaccharides, polyquaternary ammoniums, etc. See, e.g., the Abstract, page 1, lines 13-28, pages 4-11. More specifically, WO'681 exemplifies the combination of an anionic polymer in acid form/cationic polymer in basic form. See, e.g., the Table per page 15 of WO'681.

Inasmuch as no difference is seen between the instantly claimed invention and in the system of WO'681, applicants have the burden of pointing out any differences and why any differences found would have been unobvious.

- 7. Claims 17-32 and 34 remain withdrawn from consideration as per having been drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 8. Applicants' arguments filed on 07/30/99 have been fully considered and found persuasive. However, said arguments do not address the merits of the prior art rejection at hand.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Judy M. Reddick whose telephone number is (703) 308-4346.

Application/Control Number: 08/759,108 Page 5

Art Unit: 1713

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-5885.

JMR JML

11/19/99

Judy M. Reddick

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1713